1	KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP		
2	BENEDICT Y. HUR - # 224018 bhur@keker.com		
3	JULIA L. ALLEN - # 286097 jallen@keker.com		
	SHAYNE HENRY - # 300188		
4	shenry@keker.com SARAH SALOMON - # 308770		
5	ssalomon@keker.com 633 Battery Street		
6	San Francisco, CA 94111-1809		
7	Telephone: 415 391 5400 Facsimile: 415 397 7188		
8	Attorneys for Non-Party SUPERLUCKY CASINO, INC.		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	OAKLAND DIVISION		
12	DAN VIGDOR, an individual; STEPHEN BRADWAY, an individual,	Case No. 4	:18-cv-04573-HSG
13			ATION OF SARAH SALOMON
14	Plaintiffs,		NT TO CIV. L.R. 79-5(E)(1) ISO NON-PARTY SUPER LUCKY
15	v.	CASINO, INFORMA	INC.'S CONFIDENTIAL
	ANDREW KARAM, an individual; FASSIL		
16	BEFEKADU, an individual; ROCKET STREET VENTURES LLC, a California	Dept.: Judge:	Courtroom 2 - 4th Floor Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.
17	limited liability company; RKSB GMBH, an unknown entity; ANTHONY SOOHOO		•
18	AND MERRILEE SOOHOO		July 31, 2018
19	REVOCABLE TRUST, an unknown entity; THREE KINGDOMS CAPITAL	Trial Date:	
20	PARTNERS, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership; JOHN AND ISABELE		
21	KRYSTYNAK 2011 FAMILY TRUST, an unknown entity; ANKUR NAGPAL, an		
	individual; WARREN KONKEL, an		
22	individual; ADAM AND JACLYN FOROUGHI 2011 FAMILY TRUST, an		
23	unknown entity; VANDANA SHAH AND PRAFUL SHAH REVOCABLE LIVING		
24	TRUST, an unknown entity; RAJAN		
25	DALICHAND SHAH, an individual; THE ETERNAL BLISS LIMITED		
26	PARTNERSHIP, a California limited partnership; MITUL MAHESH SHAH, an		
27	individual; MLPF&S AS CUST FBO		
	MICHAEL LEVINTHAL IRRA, an unknown entity; KARL JACOB, an		
28	individual; 500 STARTUPS, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership; ROSE		
	,,	1	

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

> 24 25

> 26

27 28

I, SARAH SALOMON, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney, duly licensed to practice law in California, at the law firm of Keker, Van Nest & Peters LLP, attorneys for non-party Super Lucky Casino, Inc. ("Super Lucky"). I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein and, if called upon as a witness, could and would competently testify thereto.
- 2. Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1), I submit this declaration in support of sealing non-party Super Lucky's confidential documents attached as exhibits to Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, and the redaction of references to confidential Super Lucky materials in Plaintiffs' Opposition. I have knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and if called upon as a witness, I could testify to them competently under oath.
 - 3. The categories of documents and information to be sealed is as follows:

Redaction / Sealed Document	Basis for Sealing	
Pls' Opp'n. Exs. A-D	Super Lucky's sensitive communications with	
	and regarding then-Super Lucky noteholders,	
	now shareholders.	
Pls' Opp'n at 3:17-18; 3:21-27; 4:1-2; 4:19-	Citations to Super Lucky's sensitive	
22; 4:24; 5:1-2; 5:4-10	communications with and regarding then-	
	Super Lucky noteholders, now shareholders.	

- 4. On September 21, 2018, Plaintiffs filed their Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. Vigdor et. al. v. Karam et. al., Case No. 4:18-cv-04573-HSG ("Second Action"), Dkt. No. 31. That Opposition and its exhibits (which were attached directly to the Opposition) contain redactions and conditionally sealed exhibits pursuant to the parties' Stipulated Protective Order in Vigdor et. al. v. Super Lucky et. al. ("First Action"), 4:16-cv-05326-HSG (Dkt. No. 73).
- 5. The redacted and conditionally sealed materials consist communications with and regarding then-Super Lucky noteholders, now shareholders. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of a declaration from Nicholas Talarico. This Declaration provides the factual bases for the sealing and redaction of this confidential Super Lucky information. Additionally, the Court has already sealed this type of information (sensitive communications

Case 4:18-cv-04573-HSG Document 34 Filed 09/25/18 Page 4 of 4

with and regarding noteholders) in Vigdor et. al. v. Super Lucky et. al. ("First Action"), 4:16-cv-05326-HSG (Dkt. No. 143). 6. Super Lucky expresses no opinion as to whether page 3, line 13 should be sealed, as it currently has been by Plaintiffs. That line reflects Plaintiffs' opinion regarding the current value of Super Lucky, as indicated in Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint, Second Action, Dkt. 6, at ¶ 56 ("Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Super Lucky is now valued in excess of \$100 million."). I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on September 25, 2018 at San Francisco, California.